

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/775,886 | Applicant(s) MATSUNAMI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Kaushikkumar Patel | Art Unit 2188 | |

All Participants:

(1) Kaushikkumar Patel.

(2) Shrinath Malur.

Status of Application: Non-final Action after RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2006
Time: 3.30 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's representative regarding enablement requirement of claim 28, particularly claim 28, recites "wherein a plurality of policies including conditions of file migration are prepared by a plurality of software programs" and examiner was not sure where in the specification claimed limitation was supported.